An solvable three-body model in finite volume

Peng Guo[1,*] and Vladimir Gasparian[1]

[1]*Department of Physics and Engineering, California State University, Bakersfield, CA 93311, USA.*

(Dated: January 3, 2017)

In this work, based on consideration of periodicity and asymptotic forms of wave function, we propose a novel approach to the solution of finite volume three-body problem by mapping a three-body problem into a higher dimensional two-body problem. The idea is demonstrated by an example of two light spinless particles and one heavy particle scattering in one spatial dimension. This 1D three-body problem resembles a two-body problem in two spatial dimensions mathematically, and quantization condition of 1D three-body problem is thus derived accordingly.

PACS numbers:
Keywords:

arXiv:1701.00438v1 [hep-lat] 2 Jan 2017

Introduction.—Three-body interaction plays an important role in many aspects of nuclear, hadron and condensed matter physics. In nuclear and astrophysics for example, the precise knowledge of nucleon interaction is the key to understand nucleon structure and dynamics of nuclei, and it is also the fundamental information to explore the origin of universe. In hadron physics, three-body dynamics could be crucial in many physical processes, such as extraction of light quark mass difference from isospin violating decay of $\eta \to 3\pi$ [1–9]. Three-body effect also have attracted a lot of interest in condense matter physics, for examples, the fractional quantum Hall states [10, 11] and cold polar molecules [12]. Traditionally, three-body dynamics has been studied based on many different approaches, such as Bethe-Salpeter equations [13–15], Faddeev's equation [16–19], and dispersive approch [20–27].

Unlike the traditional three-body dynamics in free space, the finite volume three-body problem is still in a developing phase, though some progresses from different approaches have been made in recent years, such as quantum field theory based diagrammatic approach and Faddeev equation based methods [28–35], and the approach by considering asymptotic form of wave function in configuration space [36]. In contrast, the finite volume two-body problem has been well-developed [37–49] based on a pioneer work by Lüscher [50], which is usually referred to Lüscher's formula. In fact, no matter what kind of boundary conditions are considered accordingly due to relativistic effect, moving frame, *etc.*, two-body Lüscher's formula is the result of periodicity properties of asymptotic forms of wave function: (1) asymptotic form of wave function defines the physical transition amplitude and its general properties, such as unitarity relation, without consideration of specific form of interaction; (2) the periodicity of wave function yields the secular equation that relates the scattering amplitudes to periodic lattice structure and produces discrete energy spectra. As will be made clear later on, this feature of finite volume dynamics is also true in multiple-body dynamics, though because extra degrees of freedom and new types of interactions are introduced, the asymptotic form of multiple-body wave function appears more complex than two-body wave function. However, from mathematical perspective, the extra degrees of freedom in particle numbers is equivalent to the two-body scattering in higher spatial dimensions with extra types of interaction. Therefore, the finite volume multiple-body problem can be solved in higher spatial dimensions. For a clear demonstration of this idea, we consider a one spatial dimensional three-body scattering of two spinless light particles and one infinite heavy particle, the interactions among three particles are given by two types: (1) the pair-wise interaction between one light and one heavy particle, denoted as V-potential; (2) a "true" three-body interaction that all particles are involved in scattering, referred as U-potential. This 1D three-body problem is then mapped into a 2D two-body scattering problem, pair-wise potential is associated to disconnected and rescattering contributions and yields plane waves type asymptotic behavior of wave function, and "true" three-body potential results in a diffractive spherical 2D scattered wave. The quantization of three-body problem in finite volume is thus derived by taking into account of both asymptotic form and periodicity of wave function in a 2D space. In order to keep a clean and simple form in presentation, we have assumed that the pair-wise interaction between two light particles is absent, thus the disconnected contribution with heavy particle as a spectator and rescattering contributions between light-light pair and heavy-light pair vanish. The consideration of these contributions will only add some extra plane waves in asymptotic form of wave function, so neglect of these contributions won't affect the method that we tend to present, the discussion with pair-wise interaction in all pairs will be given elsewhere. In addition, we will also ignore relativistic effects and work only in the center of mass frame of three-particle. As mentioned earlier, these effects will only yield a lattice with a distorted shape and make a twist on periodic boundary condition, so that ignorance of these effects won't have much impact on our presentation as well.

*Electronic address: pguo@jlab.org

Three-body scattering in free space.—Considering scattering of two light and one infinite heavy spinless particles in a 1D space, the heavy particle is labeled as third particle, two distinguishable light particles are labeled as particle-1 and -2 with equal mass m. The relative coordinates and momenta between light and heavy particles are denoted by $r_{1,2}$ and $q_{1,2}$ respectively. The center of mass frame three-particle wave function satisfies Schrödinger equation,

$$\left[\frac{\sigma^2+\nabla_{r_1}^2+\nabla_{r_2}^2}{2m}-\sum_{i=1}^{2}V(r_i)-U(\mathbf{r})\right]\psi(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q})=0, \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma^2=2mE=q_1^2+q_2^2$ is associated to the total center of mass energy, and short hand notation $\mathbf{r}=(r_1,r_2)$ and $\mathbf{q}=(q_1,q_2)$ are adopted throughout the presentation. Potential $V(r_{1,2})$ represents a pair-wise interaction between one light and the heavy particle, and potential $U(\mathbf{r})$ stands for a "true" three-body interaction with all three particles involved in scattering. Mathematically, the 1D three-body scattering problem given by Eq.(1) is equivalent to a two-body scattering problem in a 2D space. The three-body wave function is given by sum of multiple components, each component displays a distinct asymptotic form of scattered wave. As an example, for repulsive interactions, two distinct types of scattered waves are (i) linear superposition of plane waves with no new momenta created and describe scattering contribution due to pair-wise interactions; (ii) the diffractive wave that resembles a spherical wave in 2D two-body scattering. Hence, the technique in 2D two-body scattering can be applied in 1D three-body scattering.

For an incoming wave, $e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$, the solution of three-body wave function is given by Lippmann-Schwinger equation,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q})&=\phi_{q_1}(r_1)\phi_{q_2}(r_2)\\&+\int d\mathbf{r}'G_{(12)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\sigma^2)2mU(\mathbf{r}')\psi(\mathbf{r}';\mathbf{q}),\end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\phi_{q_i}(r_i)$ stands for the wave function of two-body scattering between i-th $(i=1,2)$ and third particle, and it satisfies Schrödinger equation $\left(q_i^2+\nabla_{r_i}^2-2mV(r_i)\right)\phi_{q_i}(r_i)=0$, and Green's function $G_{(12)}$ satisfies equation

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[\sigma^2+\nabla_{r_1}^2+\nabla_{r_2}^2-2mV(r_1)-2mV(r_2)\right]G_{(12)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\sigma^2)\\&=(2\pi)\delta(r_1-r_1')(2\pi)\delta(r_2-r_2').\end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Asymptotically, the solution for two-body wave function is [36, 49], $\phi_{q_i}(r_i)\to e^{iq_ir_i}+it(\frac{|q_i|r_i}{|r_i|},q_i)e^{i|q_ir_i|}$, where the on-shell two-body scattering amplitude can be expanded in terms of parity eigenstates [36, 49], $t(q_i',q_i)=\sum_{\mathcal{P}_i=\pm}Y_{\mathcal{P}_i}(r_i)t_{\mathcal{P}_i}(|q_i|)Y_{\mathcal{P}_i}(q_i)$, where $Y_+(x)=1$ and $Y_-(x)=\frac{x}{|x|}$.

The first term on the right hand side of Eq.(2) is composed of (1) an incoming wave, (2) the disconnected scattering contribution with only one of light particles involved in scattering and another acting as a spectator, and (3) on-shell three-body rescattering contribution when U-potential is completely turned off, thus the three-body interaction is realized by rescattering effect with alternate scattering of one of two light particles off third particle. Rescattering effects are generated purely by pair-wise interactions and persist even when U-potential is zero. The second term on the right hand side of Eq.(2) represents a "true" three-body interaction. Let's define a scattering T-amplitude that is associated to U-potential by

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{T_{(12)}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{q})}{\sigma^2-k^2}\\&=\int d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}'e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}G_{(12)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\sigma^2)2mU(\mathbf{r}')\psi(\mathbf{r}';\mathbf{q}),\end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $k^2=k_1^2+k_2^2$. Hence, we can rewrite Eq.(1) to

$$\psi(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q})=\phi_{q_1}(r_1)\phi_{q_2}(r_2)-\int\frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\frac{T_{(12)}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{q})}{\sigma^2-k^2}. \quad (5)$$

It can be easily show that [51, 52]

$$T_{(12)}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{q})=-\int d\mathbf{r}'\phi_{-k_1}(r_1')\phi_{-k_2}(r_2')2mU(\mathbf{r}')\psi(\mathbf{r}';\mathbf{q}). \quad (6)$$

For repulsive interactions, because of the absence of two-body bound states, $T_{(12)}$ displays no primary singularities [16, 17], and describes the "true" three-to-three particles scattering process, which will be denoted by $T_{0,0}$ from now on. The asymptotic form of wave function thus is given by,

$$\psi(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q})\to\phi_{q_1}(r_1)\phi_{q_2}(r_2)+\frac{ie^{i(\sigma r-\frac{\pi}{4})}}{2\sqrt{2\pi\sigma r}}T_{0,0}(\frac{\sigma\mathbf{r}}{r};\mathbf{q}), \quad (7)$$

where $r=\sqrt{r_1^2+r_2^2}$. The $T_{0,0}$-amplitude is constrained by unitarity relation,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k_{1,2}=\pm|q_{1,2}|}\left[s_V^\dagger(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{q})T_{0,0}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{q}')-T_{0,0}^\dagger(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{q})s_V(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{q}')\right]\\&=\frac{i}{2}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\theta_k}{2\pi}T_{0,0}^\dagger(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{q})T_{0,0}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{q}'),\end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where the reduced two-body S-matrix, s_V, is

$$\begin{aligned}s_V(\mathbf{q}';\mathbf{q})&=\delta_{q_1',q_1}\delta_{q_2',q_2}+\delta_{q_1',q_1}it(q_2',q_2)+\delta_{q_2',q_2}it(q_1',q_1)\\&+it(q_1',q_1)it(q_2',q_2),\end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

the Kronecker delta δ_{q_i',q_i} is equal to one if q_i' and q_i have the same sign, and zero otherwise, and

$$\sum_{k_{1,2}=\pm|q_{1,2}|}s_V^\dagger(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{q})s_V(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{q}')=\delta_{q_1',q_1}\delta_{q_2',q_2}. \quad (10)$$

In this letter, the three-body problem with only repulsive interactions will be considered. The treatment and formalism for attractive potentials will be presented in

[53]. The first term in, $\phi_{q_1}(r_1)\phi_{q_2}(r_2)$, appears as the production of two two-body wave functions, hence in finite volume, it can be handled rather easily with technique that have been developed for two-body scattering, see [36, 48, 49]. However, the diffractive wave, the "true" three-body scattering contribution, behaves as a 2D spherical wave and thus has to be treated differently. Taking advantage of resemblance of diffractive wave of 1D three-body scattering and spherical wave of 2D two-body scattering, the diffractive term of 1D three-body scattering will be treated just as a 2D two-body scattering problem mathematically. Therefore, similar to partial wave expansion in 2D two-body scattering, see Appendix, a "partial wave expansion" of on-shell $T_{0,0}$ amplitude is introduced by,

$$T_{0,0}(\frac{\sigma\mathbf{r}}{r};\mathbf{q}) = 4\sum_{L'=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iL'\theta_r}T_{L'}(\mathbf{q}),\ \tan\theta_r = \frac{r_2}{r_1},$$
$$T_{L'}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{L=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{L',L}(\sigma)e^{-iL\theta_q},\ \tan\theta_q = \frac{q_2}{q_1}. \quad (11)$$

The three-body wave function for repulsive interactions is thus given by

$$\psi(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q}) = \phi_{q_1}(r_1)\phi_{q_2}(r_2) + \sum_{L=-\infty}^{\infty} i^L e^{iL\theta_r}H_L^{(1)}(\sigma r)iT_L(\mathbf{q}). \quad (12)$$

Three-body scattering in finite volume.—For three-particle interaction in a periodic box with size, L, the three-particle wave function in finite volume, $\psi^{(L)}$, must satisfy periodic boundary condition, $\psi^{(L)}(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{n}L;\mathbf{q}) = \psi^{(L)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q})$, and $\psi^{(L)}$ can be constructed by free space three-body wave function, $\psi^{(L)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}}\psi(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{n}L;\mathbf{q})$, thus

$$\psi^{(L)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q}) = \phi_{q_1}^{(L)}(r_1)\phi_{q_2}^{(L)}(r_2) + \frac{i}{4}\int\frac{d\mathbf{r}'d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2}\sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}}H_0^{(1)}(\sigma|\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{n}L-\mathbf{r}'|)e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}'}T_{0,0}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{q}), \quad (13)$$

where the finite volume two-body wave function is given analytically [36, 48, 49] by

$$\phi_{q_i}^{(L)}(r_i) = \sum_{n_i\in\mathbb{Z}}\phi_{q_i}(r_i+n_iL) = it(q_i',q_i)e^{i|q_ir_i|} + \sum_{\mathcal{P}_i=\pm} it_{\mathcal{P}_i}(|q_i|)\frac{e^{iq_ir_i}+(-1)^{\mathcal{P}_i}e^{-iq_ir_i}}{e^{-i|q_i|L}-1}. \quad (14)$$

The infinite sum in second term is carried out by using Eq.(24), also with the help of "partial wave expansion" of $T_{0,0}$ in Eq.(11), we obtain

$$\psi^{(L)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q}) = \phi_{q_1}^{(L)}(r_1)\phi_{q_2}^{(L)}(r_2) + \sum_{L,L'=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iL\theta_r}\left[\delta_{L,L'}iN_L(\sigma r) + g_{L'-L}(\sigma)J_L(\sigma r)\right]i^{L'}iT_{L'}(\mathbf{q}). \quad (15)$$

The quantization condition of three-body scattering is obtained by matching $\psi^{(L)}$ to free space three-body wave function given in Eq.(12), $\psi^{(L)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q}) = \psi(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q})$. After the "partial wave" projection, the matching condition has non-trivial solutions for an arbitrary r only when

$$\det\left\{\delta_{L,L'}\left(e^{-iL\theta_q} + iT_L(\mathbf{q}) + \sum_{J=-\infty}^{\infty} i^J g_{J-L}(\sigma)iT_J(\mathbf{q})\right) + \sum_{\mathcal{P}_1,\mathcal{P}_2=\pm}\mathcal{M}_{L,L'}^{(\mathcal{P}_1,\mathcal{P}_2)}(\sigma,\theta_q)\right\} = 0, \quad (16)$$

where T_L's are associated to the diffractive wave contribution and describes the "true" three-body scattering, and $\mathcal{M}_{L,L'}^{(\mathcal{P}_1,\mathcal{P}_2)}$ is associated to the disconnected and rescattering contributions that are determined solely by pair-wise two-body interactions,

$$\mathcal{M}_{L,L'}^{(\mathcal{P}_1,\mathcal{P}_2)}(\sigma,\theta_q) = -\delta_{L,L'}\frac{2it_{\mathcal{P}_1}(|q_1|)}{e^{-i|q_1|L}-1}\frac{2it_{\mathcal{P}_2}(|q_2|)}{e^{-i|q_2|L}-1} \times\frac{\frac{1+(-1)^{\mathcal{P}_1+\mathcal{P}_2+L}}{2}e^{-iL\theta_q} + \frac{(-1)^{\mathcal{P}_2}+(-1)^{\mathcal{P}_1+L}}{2}e^{iL\theta_q}}{2} + it_{\mathcal{P}_1}(|q_1|)\left(1-\frac{2it_{\mathcal{P}_2}(|q_2|)}{e^{-i|q_2|L}-1}\right)A_{L,L'}^{(\mathcal{P}_1,\mathcal{P}_2)}(\theta_q) + it_{\mathcal{P}_2}(|q_2|)\left(1-\frac{2it_{\mathcal{P}_1}(|q_1|)}{e^{-i|q_1|L}-1}\right)B_{L,L'}^{(\mathcal{P}_1,\mathcal{P}_2)}(\theta_q), \quad (17)$$

and "partial wave expansion" coefficients, $A_{L,L'}^{(\mathcal{P}_1,\mathcal{P}_2)}$ and $B_{L,L'}^{(\mathcal{P}_1,\mathcal{P}_2)}$, are defined by relations,

$$Y_{\mathcal{P}_1}(r_1)Y_{\mathcal{P}_1}(q_1)e^{i|q_1r_1|}\frac{e^{iq_2r_2}+(-1)^{\mathcal{P}_2}e^{-iq_2r_2}}{2} = \sum_{L,L'=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iL\theta_r}A_{L,L'}^{(\mathcal{P}_1,\mathcal{P}_2)}(\theta_q)J_{L'}(\sigma r), \quad (18)$$

$$Y_{\mathcal{P}_2}(r_2)Y_{\mathcal{P}_2}(q_2)e^{i|q_2r_2|}\frac{e^{iq_1r_1}+(-1)^{\mathcal{P}_1}e^{-iq_1r_1}}{2} = \sum_{L,L'=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iL\theta_r}B_{L,L'}^{(\mathcal{P}_1,\mathcal{P}_2)}(\theta_q)J_{L'}(\sigma r). \quad (19)$$

Discussion and conclusion—As already been discussed in [36, 48, 49], Lüscher's formula in two-body scattering is the consequence of general feature of periodicity and asymptotic form of wave function, which doesn't depend on a specific form of interaction. This feature is in fact still valid for finite volume three-body scattering, however, due to extra degrees of freedom, the asymptotic form of three-body wave function display more complex structures. Fortunately, from mathematics point of view, these extra degrees of freedom in particle numbers can be dealt equivalently as two-body scattering in higher dimensions. Although, from physical perspective, interaction among three particles are usually more sophisticated than the interaction considered in two-body scattering, the difference in the form of interactions results

in the distinct asymptotic form of wave functions that correspond to different physical processes. Pair-wise interaction yields disconnected scattering and rescattering contributions that are associated to plane waves type of three-body wave function, and "true" three-body interaction results in a diffractive spherical wave.

For a more explicit demonstration, let's consider two extreme limits of our three-body model:

(1) $U = 0$: The solution of wave function is given by $\phi_{q_1}(r_1)\phi_{q_2}(r_2)$. In addition to a free incoming wave, the three-body wave function consists of two types of contribution, one is disconnected contributions with one of two light particles acting as a spectator, $it(q_1', q_1)e^{i|q_1 r_1|}e^{iq_2 r_2}$ and $it(q_2', q_2)e^{i|q_2 r_2|}e^{iq_1 r_1}$. The second type is three-body rescattering contribution with alternate scattering of one light particle off third one, so all three particles are involved in scattering by iterations, $it(q_1', q_1)it(q_2', q_2)e^{i|q_1 r_1|}e^{i|q_2 r_2|}$. As the consequence of no direct interaction between two light particles, no new momenta are created after collision, rearrangement of momenta between two light particles are not allowed, and diffraction effects vanish in this particular case. The determinant condition in Eq.(16) reduce to 1D Lüscher's formula like quantization condition [36, 49], $e^{-i|q_{1,2}|L} = 1 + 2it_{\pm}(|q_{1,2}|)$, and the momentum of each light particle appears discrete, so is total energy of three-particle.

(2) $V = 0$: As the result of no pair-wise interactions at all, all the two-body scattering $t(q_i', q_i)$-amplitude vanish, so that both disconnected and rescattering contribution are gone, and $\phi_{q_1}(r_1)\phi_{q_2}(r_2) = e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$. In this case, the 1D three-body wave function in Eq.(12) indeed resembles two-body wave function in 2D space presented in Appendix. Mathematically, the problem of three-body scattering in finite volume thus can be solved as a problem of two-body scattering in a 2D space. The matching condition in Eq.(16) thus reduces to a form that resembles to matching condition in 2D scattering,

$$\det\left(\delta_{L,L'} + iT_{L,L'}(\sigma) - \sum_{J=-\infty}^{\infty} i^J g_{J-L}(\sigma) iT_{J,L'}(\sigma)\right) = 0. \tag{20}$$

If U-potential is spherical, $U(\mathbf{r}) = U(r)$, hence, $T_{L,L'} = \delta_{L,L'}T_{L'}$, and above 1D three-body quantization condition is thus equivalent to Eq.(27), and the unitarity relation for partial wave scattering T_L-matrix is given also in a simple form, $\mathrm{Im}T_L = T_L^* T_L$ and $T_L = \frac{1}{\cot\delta_L - i}$.

In summary, with consideration of the periodicity and asymptotic form of wave function, a three-body problem may be mapped into a higher dimensional two-body problem. Using a simple 1D three-body problem with interaction of two light spinless particles and one heavy particle as a example, we show how this 1D finite volume three-body problem is mapped into a 2D two-body problem mathematically and solved accordingly.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS—We acknowledges support from Department of Physics and Engineering, California State University, Bakersfield, CA.

Appendix: Finite volume two-body scattering in two spatial dimensions.—Let's consider two spinless particles scattering in a 2D space, the interaction between two particles is described by spherical U-potential. The two-body wave function in center of mass frame satisfies

$$\psi(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q}) = e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} - \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}}{\sigma^2 - k^2}T(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{q}), \tag{21}$$

where $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{q}$ refer to the relative coordinate and momenta of two particles respectively, and $\sigma^2 = 2mE = q^2$. The two-body scattering amplitude is defined by $T(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{q}) = -\int d\mathbf{r}e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}2mU(r)\psi(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q})$. The partial wave expansion of two-body wave function in 2D reads

$$\psi(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{L=-\infty}^{\infty} i^L e^{iL\theta_r}\left[J_L(\sigma r) + iT_L(\sigma)H_L^{(1)}(\sigma r)\right]e^{-iL\theta_q}, \tag{22}$$

where T_L-amplitude can be parameterized by phase shifts, $T_L = \frac{e^{2i\delta_L}-1}{2i}$, and is related to T-amplitude by $T(\mathbf{q}';\mathbf{q}) = 4\sum_{L=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iL\theta_{q'}}T_L(\sigma)e^{-iL\theta_q}$.

When the particles are placed in a 2D periodic box of size, L, the finite volume two-particle wave function, $\psi^{(L)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q})$, thus satisfies periodic boundary condition, $\psi^{(L)}(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{n}L;\mathbf{q}) = \psi^{(L)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q})$ $(\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z})$. The finite volume two-body wave function can be constructed from free space wave function, $\psi^{(L)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}}\psi(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{n}L;\mathbf{q})$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{(L)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q}) =& \frac{i}{4}\int d\mathbf{r}'\sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}}H_0^{(1)}(\sigma|\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{n}L-\mathbf{r}'|)\\ &\times\int\frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}'}T(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{q}).\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The infinite sum is carried out by partial wave expansion as in 3D [48, 50],

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{4i}{L^2}\sum_{\mathbf{k}=\frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')}}{\sigma^2-k^2} = \sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}}H_0^{(1)}(\sigma|\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{n}L-\mathbf{r}'|)\\ &= \sum_{L,L'=-\infty}^{\infty}e^{iL\theta_r}\left[\delta_{L,L'}iN_L(\sigma r) + g_{L'-L}(\sigma)J_L(\sigma r)\right]\\ &\quad\times J_{L'}(\sigma r')e^{-iL'\theta_{r'}},\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where the expansion coefficient, g_L, is given by

$$g_L(\sigma) = \frac{4i}{L^2}\sum_{\mathbf{k}=\frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{i^L(\frac{\sqrt{k^2}}{\sigma})^L e^{-iL\theta_k}}{\sigma^2-k^2} - \delta_{L,0}iN_0(\sigma r)|_{r\to 0}. \tag{25}$$

Therefore, we obtain the partial wave expansion of finite volume two-body wave function in 2D,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{(L)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q}) =& \sum_{L,L'=-\infty}^{\infty}e^{iL\theta_r}\left[\delta_{L,L'}iN_L(\sigma r) + g_{L'-L}(\sigma)J_L(\sigma r)\right]\\ &\times i^{L'}iT_{L'}(\sigma)e^{-iL'\theta_q}.\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

The secular equation is obtained by matching $\psi^{(L)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q})$ to $\psi(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{q})$ at an arbitrary $\mathbf{r}$, thus we get

$$\det\left[\delta_{L,L'}\cot\delta_L(\sigma) - i g_{L'-L}(\sigma)\right] = 0. \qquad (27)$$

Eq.(27) is a 2D version of Lüscher's formula like quantization condition for two spinless particles scattering.

[1] J. Kambor, C. Wiesendanger, and D. Wyler, Nucl.Phys. **B465**, 215 (1996).
[2] A. V. Anisovich and H. Leutwyler, Phys.Lett. **B375**, 335 (1996).
[3] G. Colangelo, S. Lanz, and E. Passemar, PoS **CD09**, 047 (2009).
[4] S. Lanz, PoS **CD12**, 007 (2013).
[5] S. P. Schneider, B. Kubis, and C. Ditsche, JHEP **1102**, 028 (2011).
[6] K. Kampf, M. Knecht, J. Novotny, and M. Zdrahal, Phys.Rev. **D84**, 114015 (2011).
[7] P. Guo, Igor V. Danilkin, D. Schott, C. Fernández-Ramírez, V. Mathieu and A. P. Szczepaniak, Phys.Rev. **D92**, 054016 (2015).
[8] P. Guo, Igor V. Danilkin, D. Schott, C. Fernández-Ramírez, V. Mathieu and A. P. Szczepaniak, arXiv:1608.01447[hep-ph].
[9] G. Colangelo, S. Lanz, H. Leutwyler and E. Passemar, arXiv:1610.03494[hep-ph].
[10] E. Fradkin, C. Nayak, A. Tsvelik, and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B **516**, 704 (1998).
[11] N. R. Cooper, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 220405 (2004).
[12] H. P. Büchler, A. Micheli and P. Zoller, Nature Physics 3, 726 (2007).
[13] J. G. Taylor, Phys. Rev. **150**, 1321 (1966).
[14] J. -L. Basdevant and R. E. Kreps, Phys. Rev. **141**, 1398 (1966).
[15] F. Gross, Phys. Rev. C **26**, 2226 (1982).
[16] L. D. Faddeev, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **39**, 1459 (1960) [Sov. Phys.-JETP **12**, 1014(1961)].
[17] L. D. Faddeev, *Mathematical Aspects of the Three-Body Problem in the Quantum Scattering Theory*, Israel Program for Scientific Translation, Jerusalem, Israel (1965).
[18] W. Glöckle, *The Quantum Mechanical Few-Body Problem*, Springer, Berlin, Germany (1983).
[19] W. Glöckle, H. Wita?a, D. Hüber, H. Kamada and J. Golak, Phys. Rept. **274**, 107 (1996).
[20] N. N. Khuri and S. B. Treiman, Phys. Rev. **119**, 1115 (1960).
[21] J. B. Bronzan and C. Kacser, Phys. Rev. **132**, 2703 (1963).
[22] I. J. R. Aitchison, II Nuovo Cimento **35**, 434 (1965).
[23] I. J. R. Aitchison, Phys. Rev. **137**, B1070 (1965); Phys. Rev. **154**, 1622 (1967).
[24] P. Guo, I. V. Danilkin and A. P. Szczepaniak, Eur. Phys. J. A **51**, 135 (2015).
[25] P. Guo, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 076012 (2015).
[26] I. V. Danilkin, C. Fernández-Ramírez, P. Guo, V. Mathieu, D. Schott and A. P. Szczepaniak, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 094029 (2015).
[27] P. Guo, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **31**, 1650058 (2016).
[28] S. Kreuzer and H.-W. Hammer, Phys. Lett. B **673**, 260 (2009).
[29] S. Kreuzer and H.-W. Hammer, Eur. Phys. J. A **43**, 229 (2010).
[30] S. Kreuzer and H.-W. Hammer, Eur. Phys. J. A **48**, 93 (2012).
[31] K. Polejaeva and A. Rusetsky, Eur. Phys. J. A **48**, 67 (2012).
[32] R. A. Briceno and Z. Davoudi, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 094507 (2013).
[33] M. T. Hansen and S. R. Sharpe, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 116003 (2014).
[34] M. T. Hansen and S. R. Sharpe, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 114509 (2015).
[35] M. T. Hansen and S. R. Sharpe, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 096006 (2016).
[36] P. Guo, arXiv:1607.03184 [hep-lat].
[37] K. Rummukainen and S. Gottlieb, Nucl. Phys. B **450**, 397 (1995).
[38] C.-J. D. Lin, G. Martinelli, C. T. Sachrajda and M. Testa, Nucl. Phys. B **619**, 467 (2001).
[39] N. H. Christ, C. Kim and T. Yamazaki, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 114506 (2005).
[40] V. Bernard, Ulf-G. Meißner and A. Rusetsky, Nucl. Phys. B **788**, 1 (2008).
[41] V. Bernard, M. Lage, Ulf-G. Meißner and A. Rusetsky, JHEP **0808**, 024 (2008).
[42] S. He, X. Feng and C. Liu, JHEP **0507**, 011 (2005).
[43] M. Lage, Ulf-G. Meißner and A. Rusetsky, Phys. Lett. B **681**, 439 (2009)
[44] M. Döring, Ulf-G. Meißner, E. Oset and A. Rusetsky, Eur. Phys. J. A **47**, 139 (2011)
[45] S. Aoki *et al.* [HAL QCD Collaboration], Proc. Japan Acad. B **87**, 509 (2011)
[46] R. A. Briceno and Z. Davoudi, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 094507 (2013)
[47] M. T. Hansen and S. R. Sharpe, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 016007 (2012)
[48] P. Guo, J. Dudek, R. Edwards and A. P. Szczepaniak, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 014501 (2013)
[49] P. Guo, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 014507 (2013).
[50] M. Lüscher, Nucl. Phys. B **354**, 531 (1991).
[51] E. Gerjuoy, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. A **270**, 197 (1971).
[52] R. G. Newton, Ann. Phys. **74**, 324 (1972).
[53] P. Guo and V. Gasparyan, in preparation .